

Fort Hays State University

FHSU Scholars Repository

Faculty Senate

12-12-1972

Fort Hays State University Faculty Senate Minutes, September 08, 1972

FHSU Faculty Senate

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholars.fhsu.edu/sen_all

Recommended Citation

FHSU Faculty Senate, "Fort Hays State University Faculty Senate Minutes, September 08, 1972" (1972). *Faculty Senate*. 616.
https://scholars.fhsu.edu/sen_all/616

This Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by FHSU Scholars Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Senate by an authorized administrator of FHSU Scholars Repository.

To: Faculty

Date: September 8, 1972

From: Patrick Drinan, Secretary
Faculty Senate

Minutes of the Faculty Senate Meeting of August 30, 1972, at 3:00 p.m. in the Frontier Room of the Memorial Union.

I. Roll Call. The following members were absent: Mr. Jack Heather, Dr. Joel Moss, Dr. Verna Parish, Dr. Jack McCullick, Dr. Edith Dobbs, Mrs. L. Heene Allen, Dr. Samuel Hamilton, Dr. Lloyd Frerer, Mr. Ruff Gentry, Dr. Robert Dressler, Mr. Cade Suran, Mr. Gary Tobias.

II. Results of collective bargaining questionnaire were presented by Dr. Staven.

A. 123 (49%) of the questionnaires were returned.

B. Specific results:

1. Do you feel that the faculties of the state colleges and universities should further investigate the advantages and disadvantages of collective bargaining as it affects higher education?

Yes 108 (88%)	No 10 (9%)	Undecided 4 (3%)
---------------	------------	------------------

2. Would you favor the dissemination of such information locally?

Yes 102 (83%)	No 13 (10%)	Undecided 8 (6%)
---------------	-------------	------------------

3. Would you presently favor the development of a collective bargaining agent (i.e., AAUP, AFT, NEA, etc.) at FHKSC?

Yes 58 (48%)	No 26 (20%)	Undecided 39 (32%)
--------------	-------------	--------------------

III. ROTC

A. Discussion of appropriateness of current Senate action.

B. Motion by Dr. Oliva to lay ROTC question on table until the Senate receives a request from administration for specific action. Seconded by Dr. Forsythe. Motion carried.

IV. Chairman's comments on role of the Faculty Senate

A. Dr. Staven summarized discussions and communications of the Executive Committee with the administration that had taken place over the summer. Copies of a summary of a July 31 meeting with Dr. Tomanek and a letter from Dr. Tomanek to Dr. Staven were directed to be attached to the minutes.

B. Dr. Staven discussed recommendations for the Faculty Senate based upon his experience of the preceding year. Included were the following:

1. The need for continuity in the officers of the Senate to avoid disruption of Senate activities and in order to insure continuity in state leadership
2. The need for continuation of the newsletter
3. The need for a Faculty Senate voice in the affairs of the campus, especially in the decision making process

V. Elections of Executive Committee of the Senate

- A. Dr. Lewis Miller and Dr. James Forsythe were nominated for chairman. Dr. Miller was elected by a vote of 17 to 15.
- B. Dr. Maurice Witten and Miss Ellen Veed were nominated for vice-chairman. Miss Veed was elected by a vote of 23 to 8.
- C. Dr. Patrick Drinan and Mrs. Rose Arnhold were nominated for secretary. Dr. Drinan was elected by tie-breaking coin toss of the chair.

VI. Discussion of regular meeting time with a tentative meeting time of 3:30 p.m. on Monday suggested.

VII. Mr. Rupp moved to commend Dr. Staven for his leadership of the Faculty Senate in the past year. Seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

VIII. Faculty newsletter

- A. Miss Veed moved that Dr. Sackett be requested to continue his editorship of the newsletter. Seconded. Motion carried.
- B. Dr. Slechta moved that Dr. Sackett be thanked for his work on the newsletter. Seconded. Motion carried.

IX. The meeting was adjourned by Dr. Miller at 4:00 p.m.