

Fort Hays State University

FHSU Scholars Repository

Faculty Senate

12-3-1968

Fort Hays State University Faculty Senate Minutes, December 3, 1968

FHSU Faculty Senate

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholars.fhsu.edu/sen_all

Recommended Citation

FHSU Faculty Senate, "Fort Hays State University Faculty Senate Minutes, December 3, 1968" (1968). *Faculty Senate*. 562.
https://scholars.fhsu.edu/sen_all/562

This Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by FHSU Scholars Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Senate by an authorized administrator of FHSU Scholars Repository.

Sent to Pres. Office 12-24-68

Discussion: Selection of Candidates for Who's Who continued.

RECOMMENDATION: A motion was made by Dr. Lewis Miller regarding the method of selecting candidates for Who's Who. Motion seconded by Dr. Thomas. Motion AMENDED by Dr. Sackett. Motion seconded by Mrs. Popp. Motion for the Amendment carried. Amended Motion passed. (See Minutes for Recommendation and Amendment.)

Discussion: Nine Weeks' Reports for Freshmen. (A comparison of this report for fall, 1967, was made with that of fall, 1968.)

Discussion: Meeting of Senate Representatives of the Six State Schools.

Discussion: Cheating. A letter from Mr. Jim Forsythe, Sec.-Treas. of the Ft. Hays Chapter of AAUP read to Senate. Discussion to be continued at next meeting.

Minutes of the meeting of the Faculty Senate, Tuesday, December 3, 1968, at 3:30 p.m., in the Office of the Dean of the Faculty.

Members Present: Mr. Ginther, Mr. Schroder, Dr. Thomas, Dr. Hamilton, Mrs. Popp, Dr. Sackett, Miss Christopher, Dr. Miller, Mrs. Theis, Dr. Witten, Mr. Dalton, Mr. Finnin and Dr. Garwood, Chairman.

Members Absent: Dr. Oliva.

The meeting was called to order by Dr. Garwood, Chairman.

SELECTION OF CANDIDATES FOR WHO'S WHO. The discussion of the selection processes for Who's Who was continued. The Who's Who certificates have been received. Mike Finnin of the Student Council was asked if the Student Council would like to distribute the certificates to the student recipients. It was decided that the Dean's Office would inform the nominees that Who's Who certificates were available in the Dean's Office and could be picked up at that place. The Information Services has informed the newspapers throughout the area of these awards.

Dr. Miller, Chairman of the committee which studied the methods of Who's Who selection, said that in his opinion the procedure discussed at the previous meeting had too many steps in it. He pointed out that it would be a very time consuming process to work through the steps suggested. One Senate member questioned the wisdom of asking sophomores, juniors and seniors to ballot for these candidates. Would it not be possible to restrict balloting to seniors who in all probability would have the most information about their classmates? Would it be necessary to list

student activities on the ballots? Is it advisable to list the grade point of each of the nominees where this information would be made available to hundreds of students? In lieu of the specific grade index, the area might be indicated, i. e., 2.00-2.50, etc.

Another question raised was whether the voting could be done in conjunction with the Homecoming balloting for the Homecoming Queen. The difficulty with this procedure is that Who's Who information needs to be assembled and sent to the requesting agency before Homecoming.

Dr. Miller stated that the committee recommended that scholastic excellence, citizenship, service to the institution and high regard among their peers be the criteria for the selection of students.

Dr. Miller recommended that the following system be adopted for candidates for Who's Who:

1. A list of senior students with the appropriate Grade Point Average be drawn up and sent to a committee composed of representatives of honor fraternities and sororities, campus service organizations, Reveille, Leader and ASC.
2. A second committee composed of Division Chairmen or their representatives should be formed. These two committees will then select a number of candidates which would not exceed 35% of those students with the required GPA.
3. A ballot will be established of those candidates selected by the two committees and presented to all full time senior students. The information on the ballot should include the criteria for voting. Motion seconded by Dr. Thomas.

Dr. Sackett moved that we amend the recommendation by stating that the committee will select candidates in a number not to exceed the number of Who's Who

nominees the College is allowed plus 50%. Motion was seconded by Mrs. Popp.
Motion for the Amendment carried.

After some discussion on the amended motion, it was passed.

The question was raised as to how the seniors would be approached. It was suggested that ballots be mailed to all seniors. Data Processing could provide the gummed labels for the envelopes and boxes could be placed in various locations on campus for deposit of the ballots.

NINE WEEKS" REPORTS FOR FRESHMEN. Information relative to freshmen who achieved a grade index of less than 1.00 was distributed to all Senate members. All members were given a copy of the summary. In the fall semester of 1967 at the end of the first nine weeks, of 1517 freshmen, 683 had a grade index of less than 1.00. At the end of the nine weeks in the fall of 1968, of 1559 freshmen, 629 had a grade index of less than 1.00. Of the 683 freshmen who had a grade index of less than 1.00 at the end of the nine weeks in the fall semester of 1967, 285 returned to Fort Hays this fall. Of this number 136 achieved a grade index at the end of the nine weeks of more than 1.00.

MEETING OF SENATE REPRESENTATIVES OF THE SIX STATE SCHOOLS.

There will be a meeting of Senate representatives from the six state schools in Emporia December 13. Dr. Witten will represent Fort Hays.

CHEATING. The Faculty Senate received a letter from Mr. Jim Forsythe, Secretary-Treasurer of the Fort Hays Chapter of AAUP. The Committee on Cheating of the AAUP Chapter made the following recommendations to the Faculty Senate:

"The committee recommends that the AAUP chapter of Fort Hays Kansas State College ask the Dean of the Faculty to appoint a committee of the Faculty Senate and also ask the President of the Associated Student Council to appoint a committee; and that these two committees meet together and draw up a cheating code, which, upon adoption by the Faculty Senate and the Associated Student Council, will govern policy in this area. The committee feels that some of the attitudes revealed by its questionnaire may well be of assistance to these committees in drawing up the code. The committee hopes that the code will have for its primary purpose the protection of the honest student from unfair competition."

This topic of discussion will be continued at the next Senate meeting.

The meeting adjourned at 4:25 p. m.

John D. Garwood, Chairman

Lucille Drees, Recorder