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Minutes of the meeting of the Faculty Senate at 3:30 p.m., Tuesday, February 14, 1967, in the Office of the Dean of the Faculty.

Members present: Miss Gotham, Mr. Dalton, Mr. S. Johnson, Dr. McMechan, Mr. Schmidt, Mr. Tomlinson, Dr. Wilkins, Dr. Youmans, and Dr. Garwood, Chairman.

Members absent: Mr. Crites, Dr. Fleharty, Miss Gangwer, and Mr. McGinnis.

Also present: Mr. Maxwell.

The meeting was called to order by Dr. Garwood, Chairman.

Honor Roll. The report of those on the Honor Roll has been received and some of the details regarding numbers and percentages were reported to the Senate. This report will appear in the Faculty Bulletin.

Probation and Suspension. Some of the details of the Probation and Suspension list were presented and discussed. This will also appear in the Faculty Bulletin.

Announcements:
1. A letter will be sent to all students on the Honor Roll who have a 2.50 grade average or above.

2. A list of our available positions for the school year of 1967-68 has been sent to the Placement Bureaus throughout the country.

Cheating. At the last meeting, cheating was discussed briefly and was presented for further discussion at this time. Questions and comments about cheating follow.

1. Are there various degrees of cheating? Should the instructor check with the division chairman about all cases involving cheating? What is the responsibility of the division in such cases?

2. It was suggested that perhaps there should be guidelines established regarding cheating.

3. Problems connected with large classes were discussed. All instructors should be alert to prevent cheating. Two sets of examinations are helpful in discouraging cheating as well as careful proctoring.
4. It was suggested that the Dean does not make the decision as to whether cheating has occurred. This is decided before the case is brought to the Dean.

5. If a student is suspended from school for cheating, he may appeal such a decision. At present the appeal is made to the Dean.

6. It was asked if there should be a formal board for appeal? Should members of such a Board be individuals who were not involved in suspending the student? It was suggested that a board would require more time. If the concern is for the student's welfare, the time should be given.

7. It was suggested that the Dean should be the last court of appeal. Cases of cheating should be presented to a committee for action. If the student wishes to appeal, the appeal should be made to the Dean. It was suggested that the board might be composed of students as well as faculty members.

8. It was asked how many cases of cheating the Dean had handled?

The discussion will be continued at the next meeting, Tuesday, February 21.

The meeting adjourned at 4:30 p.m.

John D. Garwood, Chairman

Florence Bodmer, Recorder