

1-1-2022

Chapter 9: Building an Iron-Clad Supplier Diversity Program

Quinton L. Marks
Honeywell Corporation

Abeni El-Amin
Fort Hays State University, aelamin@fhsu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholars.fhsu.edu/management_facpubs

Recommended Citation

Quinton, M.L. & El-Amin, A. (2022). " Building an Iron-Clad Supplier Diversity Program" In Implementing Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Belonging Management in Organizational Change Initiatives, 164-175. Abeni El-Amin, ed. IGI Global. 10.4018/978-1-6684-4023-0.ch009

This Book Chapter is brought to you for free and open access by the Management at FHSU Scholars Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Management Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of FHSU Scholars Repository.

Implementing Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Belonging Management in Organizational Change Initiatives

Abeni El-Amin
Fort Hays State University, USA

A volume in the Advances in Human Resources
Management and Organizational Development
(AHRMOD) Book Series



Published in the United States of America by

IGI Global
Business Science Reference (an imprint of IGI Global)
701 E. Chocolate Avenue
Hershey PA, USA 17033
Tel: 717-533-8845
Fax: 717-533-8661
E-mail: cust@igi-global.com
Web site: <http://www.igi-global.com>

Copyright © 2022 by IGI Global. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored or distributed in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, without written permission from the publisher. Product or company names used in this set are for identification purposes only. Inclusion of the names of the products or companies does not indicate a claim of ownership by IGI Global of the trademark or registered trademark.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: El-Amin, Abeni, 1974- editor.

Title: Implementing diversity, equity, inclusion, and belonging management in organizational change initiatives / Abeni El-Amin, editor.

Description: Hershey, PA : Business Science Reference, 2022. | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2022063144 (print) | LCCN 2022063145 (ebook) | ISBN 9781668440254 (ebook) | ISBN 9781668440230 (hardcover) | ISBN 9781668440247 (paperback)

Subjects: LCSH: Organizational change. | Diversity in the workplace--Management. | Leadership. | Organizational effectiveness.

Classification: LCC HD58.8 (ebook) | LCC HD58.8 .I467 2022 (print) | DDC 658.4/06 23/eng/2022--dc03

LC record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2022063144>

This book is published in the IGI Global book series *Advances in Human Resources Management and Organizational Development (AHRMOD)* (ISSN: 2327-3372; eISSN: 2327-3380)

British Cataloguing in Publication Data

A Cataloguing in Publication record for this book is available from the British Library.

All work contributed to this book is new, previously-unpublished material. The views expressed in this book are those of the authors, but not necessarily of the publisher.

For electronic access to this publication, please contact: eresources@igi-global.com.

Chapter 9

Building an Iron–Clad Supplier Diversity Program

Quinton L. Marks

Honeywell Corporation, USA

Abeni El-Amin

Fort Hays State University, USA & Shenyang Normal University, China

ABSTRACT

There are critical issues identified with reconciling parity in supplier diversity initiatives. Supplier diversity programs (SDP) are an instrument utilized to facilitate the process. This endeavor analyzes the best techniques to develop diversity, equity, inclusion, and belonging (DEIB) strategy to develop manufacturing suppliers. Moreover, quality control frameworks for developing supplier diversity programs in manufacturing necessitates strategic planning, which is necessary to support supplier diversity initiatives. For instance, questions that supplier diversity managers must ask include, Does the company have an existing minority supplier program? If so, what are its pros, cons, and evaluative measures? If not, why hasn't there been a supplier diversity program or why is it now defunct? Moreover, supplier diversity managers must develop, safeguard, and sustain supplier diversity programs by gaining support from leadership, create policy (institutionalize), and tie the SDP to organizational performance metrics.

INTRODUCTION

This exposé identifies the current issues facing implementation challenges in supplier diversity programs. As a result, this summation provides perspectives on the strategic development of supplier diversity programs, planning, implementation, and execution of the program. Developing supplier diversity programs improves equity in the global manufacturing industry (Rastogi, 2009). Supplier diversity programs are a proactive strategic plan provided by the customer for suppliers to increase spending by corporations (Rastogi, 2009). Moreover, the benefits and impact of maintaining a diversity, equity, inclusion, and belonging (DEIB) supplier program is increased awareness, uniformity of products, and compliance with customer and industry standards. Presenting a DEIB supplier program allows the supplier to realize

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-6684-4023-0.ch009

Building an Iron-Clad Supplier Diversity Program

improvements within their manufacturing systems. Promoting DEIB provides plantwide awareness and leadership direction throughout a company's workforce. There is an associated and correlated corporate social responsibility (CSR) regarding DEIB implementation management, revenue generation, and profitability. Deploying and having a robust DEIB relationship with suppliers ensures positive performances with customers.

BACKGROUND

Supplier diversity program and business-to-business (B2B) are basic elements to accomplishing advanced business, even though they are two distinct modes, they share numerous semblances, the reconciliation of DEIB and B2B yields profits and encourages collaboration in business ventures, including transactions, advertising, customer administration, and data support (Zeng et al., 2003). Further, firms' broad use of supplier diversity program systems has amounted to the massive accumulation of client data history. DEIB data mines are in the form of sophisticated relational databases.

Consequently, corporations have frequently ignored or poorly utilized data in DEIB data repertoire for the creation of market strategies. A lack of a deeper understanding of this data, combined with poor data management, is responsible for the shortcomings of the technical expertise of DEIB datasets. Notwithstanding, corporations can guide the development of processes and tools to utilize DEIB data for sophisticated market analysis. (Stein et al., 2013)

Well-rounded sustainability is a vital input mechanism to generate a positive brand image for B2B firms. Environmental sustainability also functions as a signaling instrument to communicate firms' values (Vesal et al., 2020). DEIB programs take advantage of the benefits of the landscape of sustainability of brand image. Moreover, customers' positive attitudes strengthen the relationship between sustainability-brand image and market performance (Vesal et al., 2020). The yearning to reduce supply chain expenses has made radio-frequency identification (RFID) innovation one of today's most prevalent retail advancements to gain information about customers' sales and buying preferences (Attaran, 2007). Given the current pace of business, the aims to exceed publicity expectations and investigate essential issues identified with RFID innovation, including its assurances as well as the technical difficulty of executing and training staff on its use. (Attaran, 2007).

RADIO-FREQUENCY IDENTIFICATION (RFID) IN SUPPLY CHAIN OPERATIONS

As supply chain processes, Radio-Frequency Identification (RFID's) advancement provides a greater base for obtaining metrics of products of a company. Therefore, RFID's capacities and applications in different businesses requirements go far beyond its challenges, appropriation stages, and prosperity factors (Attaran, 2007). RFID is the latest productive innovation that gives supply chain joint effort and permeability. An RFID systems arrangement will increment corporate ROI while simultaneously improving retail supply chain correspondence (Attaran, 2007). RFID innovation can bring about a developmental change fusing heritage systems with tomorrow's real-time supply chain management. Its staggering point is just an assortment of issues outside the innovation itself: advertising issues, bogus guarantees, security and protection contemplations, and an absence of norms (Attaran, 2007).

KNOWLEDGE OF DIVERSITY, EQUITY, INCLUSION, AND BELONGING (DEIB): PEOPLE, PROCESS, AND TECHNOLOGY

In the manufacturing space, supplier diversity programs connect a mix of individuals, cycles, and technological innovation that strives to assess an organization's supplier base. It is a coordinated way to manage relationships by zeroing in on customer maintenance and relationship improvement (Chen & Popovich, 2003). Supplier diversity programs allow companies to develop progressive marketing tools in data innovation and industry to improve customer-centric measures. Organizations that effectively actualize DEIB benefit from customer dedication and increased productivity compared to data extracted from less corporate social responsibility (CSR) counterparts (Chen & Popovich, 2003). Notwithstanding, effective execution is unreliable to numerous organizations, generally because they do not comprehend that DEIB requires company-wide, cross-functional, customer-focused business measures re-engineering processes. A vast part of astute supplier diversity programs mechanisms is innovation; customer relation marketing (CRM) is a technology-based application that often does not measure up to its potential because the end-user does not understand how to use its enormous capabilities. Dealing with a productive supplier diversity programs execution strategy requires an incorporated and adjusted way to deal with innovation, the supply chain, and stakeholders (Chen & Popovich, 2003).

Factors for Success in Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Belonging (DEIB) Systems

The significance of powerful customer relationships as a key to customer regard and investor regard is stressed. To enhance these relationships, manufacturing uses DEIB to promote supplier diversity programming, web-based business and developing different activities quickly (Wilson et al., 2002). The elements that impact DEIB applications' benefits to an organization, with specific accentuation on those variables, are unmistakable from different expanses of utilization. Additionally, utilizing the explanatory acceptance strategy, achievement factors obtained from internal and external provide contextual analyses (Wilson et al., 2002). Realizing variables underemphasized in past analysis incorporates the requirement for venture endorsement methodology, which consider vulnerability; the need to use models of best practice; the significance of prototyping new cycles, not simply in manufacturing, but in other areas of companies as well; and the need to oversee for the conveyance of the planned advantages, as opposed to simply executing the first determination (Chen & Popovich, 2003; Wilson et al., 2002).

Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Belonging and Innovation Capability: An Empirical Study

The impacts of different supplier diversity programs indicate that DEIB provides innumerable benefits to a company's ability to attract customers and suppliers and sustain these relationships (Lin et al., 2010). Five elements of DEIB (data sharing, a customer contribution, long-term organization, collaborative problem-solving, and technology-based DEIB) and five parts of advancement ability (item, measure, regulatory, advertising, and administration developments) allow companies to link the supply chain loop. The one-to-one relationship between the two increases corporate and supplier management viability (Lin et al., 2010).

Development of a Case-Based Intelligent Supplier Relationship Management System – Linking Supplier Rating System and Product Coding System

An intelligent supplier relationship management system (ISRMS) coordinates an organization's supplier diversity program system, supplier rating system (SRS), and item coding system (PCS) by the case-based thinking (CBR) strategy to choose favored suppliers during New Item Development (NPD) measures (Choy et al., 2004a). For example, by utilizing ISRMS in Honeywell Consumer Product (Hong Kong) Limited, the appropriate process duration from the dataset of suppliers to the assignment of request postponed delivery of merchandise. When instances such as this, the relationship between the company and the supplier is diminished. Likewise, monitoring a minority supplier account prompts management and inclusionary purchases (Choy et al., 2004a).

The Development and Diffusion of Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Belonging (DEIB) Intelligence in Business-To-Business Environments

DEIB information is among the most significant and extensive data access management tools in numerous businesses. This is especially the situation in business-to-business showcases, where the company's all-encompassing working relationship with its customers is methodically pivotal for supporting a profitable business (Stein et al., 2013). Nonetheless, in numerous cases, management has regarded DEIB information as profoundly explicit to customer relationships. It has subsequently failed to examine this data across market sections, customer classifications, and customer-firm relationship structures to make significant inferences driving business choices (Stein et al., 2013).

Moreover, Stein et al. (2013) presented a technique for grouping supplier diversity programming data in an acceptable manner that helps management make strategic decisions. This can lead to an impressive comprehension of the in-shaping cycle in the association's dealings with its customers, the directionality of customer-firm dynamic, the key choice drivers across bargains, and the historical record of the association's relationship with its customers (Choy et al., 2004b). This outcome improves the utilization of important historical data for setting up impactful systems, the related projects, approaches for holding, developing the company's customer base, and different components of the company's transference of marketing potential and information throughout the organization (Stein et al., 2013).

An Enterprise Collaborative Management System – A Case Study of Supplier Relationship Management (SRM)

A server-based venture shared management system utilizing undertaking application reconciliation innovation is created for preliminary usage from Honeywell Client Manufacturing (HK) Limited, in the zone of supplier relationship management (Choy et al., 2004b). This system encourages supplier determination utilizing an integrative case-based supplier choice. It assists the work area with drawing nearer to choose the most proper suppliers because of their past exhibition records from a case-based stockroom. Examines a contextual analysis to coordinate Honeywell's supplier rating system and item coding system using a case-based thinking procedure to choose favored suppliers during the latest item advancement measure (Choy et al., 2004b). As a result, the redistribute process duration from expected suppliers to the requests' assignment is enormously diminished. In contrast, suppliers' execution can simultaneously occur (Choy et al., 2004b).

Enhancing Customer Needs-Based DEIB Strategies: Core Selling Teams, Knowledge Management Competence, and Relationship Marketing Competence

Directing relationships with customers is frequently tested since firms take part in various exchanges. Their customers' change significantly creates concerns and challenges to a company's needs and goals (Arnett & Badrinarayanan, 2005). To address these difficulties, firms are going progressively to formal Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Belonging (DEIB) programs. As a result of their capacity to improve interfirm relationships in business-to-business highlighting, firms regularly go explicitly to customer-needs-determined DEIB strategies (Arnett & Badrinarayanan, 2005). These strategies utilize information base innovation to help grow agreeable enduring relationships with key customers. One significant asset that empowers firms to effectively create and execute customer-needs-determined DEIB strategies is the center selling (CS) perspective. Furthermore, an analysis of a CS perspective illuminates the capacity to improve further two abilities (an information management capability and a relationship promoting skill) that are significant parts of the customer-needs-determined DEIB methodology (Arnett & Badrinarayanan, 2005).

Using Electronic Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Belonging to Improve Manufacturing Processes

Remarkably, electronic-Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Belonging (e-DEIB) systems aid aviation manufacturing corporations working through the difficulties of an e-DEIB transfer. The basic standards of DEIB experience entrenchment in the aviation industry (Michaelides et al., 2007). As a result, e-CRM engages customers who see critical advantages for their benefit (Brickle 2002). Kalakota and Robinson (2001) expressed that given the circumstances, organizations lose half of their customer base like clockwork and that the expense of acquiring another customer is five to multiple times more than keeping up a current one (Michaelides et al., 2007). Accordingly, an organization must follow customers' needs, practices, and ways of life and utilize them to make a particular offer.

Furthermore, the development of e-business and the worldwide web using new economies present obstacles and difficulties for producers to cultivate solid customer relationships (Michaelides et al., 2007). The exploration study exhibits that embracing an organized approach while executing e-DEIB arrangement upgrades such a system's advantages. Expressly, organizations included accomplished customer exchange candor, accordingly, lessening cases of uncertain customer exchanges, disposing of duplication of exertion, and giving simple entry to customers and suppliers the same. The exploration strategy embraced was contextual investigation-based (Michaelides et al., 2007).

Strengthening B2B Brands by Signaling Environmental Sustainability and Managing Customer Relationships

Asset consumption and ecological contamination concerns are constraining corporations to give more noteworthy consideration to supplier diversity programs and their sustainability. This is particularly so for business-to-business (B2B) production firms that utilize normal assets in their activities and are accused of noticeable effects on the current business climate (Vesal et al., 2020). Regardless of interests in sustainable practices by B2B producers, envisages giving little clarification about the degree to which

Building an Iron-Clad Supplier Diversity Program

B2B makers acquire a positive brand picture and prevalent market execution through ecological supportability. Moreover, indistinguishable authoritative practices fail to maintain DEIB execution (Vesal et al., 2020). Drawing on a dwindling hypothesis, the Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Belonging (DEIB) disposition hypothesis, and information gathered from B2B producers and their customers, reflects that manufacturing strategy links to positive advantages to the B2B manufacturing image or brand, which impacts market execution (Vesal et al., 2020). Further, successful supplier diversity programs allow companies to work with suppliers with positive reinforcement regarding commitment and sustainability management practices in execution (Vesal et al., 2020).

THE MARKETING MIX

In its well-known modification of the marketing mix's 4Ps (product, price, place, and promotion), the marketing strategy advances supplier diversity programming. From a marketing perspective, relationship marketing is best developed through customer relationship marketing (CRM) (Dominici, 2009). A marketing mix is an object of colloquy both in theoretical applications and industry practices (Dominici, 2009). Consequently, the 4Ps blend marketing evaluations with marketing execution to realize greater profitability. It is likewise evident that the advancement of business settings has made, in numerous fields, the need to survey the controllable factors which structure the marketing blend. The computerized business speaks to the later business settings and the more prominent needs of separation of the blend (Dominici, 2009).

Product

Marketing management and procedure speculations need to advance and change to stay up with changes in the commercial center and marketing practice (Goldsmith, 1999). As the following century moves nearer, it is evident that some marketing supervisors are putting together their relationships with customers concerning approaches and strategies called either "individualization," "mass-customization," or "personalization" (Goldsmith, 1999). At the center of this training includes modifying products and ventures to explicit shoppers' individual needs and needs, the exact inverse of one-size-fits-all (Goldsmith, 1999).

Price

Price consolidating overview information with genuine market information, this examination explores brand mindfulness, which identifies with buyer practices and government assistance, from three perspectives (Huang & Sarigöllü, 2014). To begin with, it looks at the relationship between brand mindfulness and market result. Second, it investigates the relationship between brand mindfulness and brand value. Finally, it explores the impacts of marketing blend components on brand mindfulness (Huang & Sarigöllü, 2014). Discoveries uncover the purchaser's image utilization experience adds to mark mindfulness, inferring that experience goes before mindfulness in certain specific situations. The outcomes likewise affirm a positive relationship between brand mindfulness and brand value. Finally, the current work shows the significance of circulation and value advancement in building brand mindfulness in a buyer bundled product classification (Huang & Sarigöllü, 2014).

Placement

An effective marketing procedure incorporates the plan of a marketing blend in with the correct mix of items offered at the correct cost, in the perfect place, and afterward advanced, making it simple and reimbursing for the person to change their conduct. A cost is brought about in return for accepting a heap of advantages (Thackeray & McCormack Brown, 2010). The marketing promoter can utilize different estimating strategies to cause the ideal conduct to have fewer expenses and more advantages while making the undesired conduct have less advantage and more prominent expense. The place is where the objective populace will play out the ideal conduct, buy or acquire a substantial item, and get related administrations (Thackeray & McCormack Brown, 2010). Including collaborators in the placement, the procedure can make items more available and increment open doors for individuals to conduct. Strategies for making the item accessible at an attractive cost and in advantageous places are fundamental to general social marketing to encourage conduct change (Thackeray & McCormack Brown, 2010).

Promotion

Promotion is utilized to speak with customers concerning item contributions. This article investigates the function of promotion in the data marketplace. The promotion is key in deciding benefit and market achievement and is one of the keys “Four Ps” of the marketing blend (Rowley, 1998). The tools that incorporate the promotional blend incorporates publicizing, direct marketing, deals promotion, advertising and exposure, individual selling, and sponsorship. The article audits the stages in the plan of interchanges strategies and, along these lines, investigates ideas, for example, target audiences, marketing messages, correspondence channels, promotional spending plans, and monitoring promotional exhibitions (Rowley, 1998).

Quality Standards

Businesses currently need quality standards to be repeatable and reliable. Further, operations must adapt to matters identified to maintain market share. Moreover, the responsibility to achieve zero customer rejects and to work with supplier diversity programs is fundamental and mandatory to a socially conscious supply chain endeavor. As such, DEIB encourages the supplier to decrease the number of defects inside an assembling production cycle and, in this way, deliver impeccable products on time (Rydin & Gustafsson, 2020). The objective of quality departments is to market the supplier diversity programs framework for suppliers. DEIB study has changed how a corporation should conduct marketing, using DEIB and the customer focus to improve performance metrics. With Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Belonging (DEIB) not, at this point, a popular expression among trailblazers, associations in a wide range of ventures at first raced to grasp it (Lindgreen et al., 2006). Although an enticingly appealing idea, DEIB’s execution demonstrated troublesome, nonetheless, and associations are battling to understand their vision of a DEIB association. To help chiefs evaluate the phase of relationships between their association and the association’s business customers, we think about the automotive business (Lindgreen et al., 2006).

Moreover, the relationships with various business customers utilize a commonsense tool to address, recognize, and organize basic Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Belonging (Lindgreen et al., 2006). Numerous organizations have started tasks to improve customer direction and plan Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Belonging (DEIB) frameworks. Among ideal advantages of supplier diversity programs,

Building an Iron-Clad Supplier Diversity Program

expanded customer fulfillment and maintenance provided customized supplier diversity programs (Alt & Puschmann, 2004). Even though DEIB's possibilities are clear, only a few effective DEIB usage is known. As a result, the aftereffects of a cross-industry benchmarking venture in which 120 organizations contributed is noteworthy (Alt & Puschmann, 2004). The outcomes show no 'special' DEIB venture, and those fruitful executions are frequently specialized tasks. From the examination, six basic achievement factors for supplier diversity programs ventures developed: venture astute advancement, clear execution and long-term ventures, authoritative updates, coordinated framework design of standard segments, change management, and top management endorsement (Alt & Puschmann, 2004).

Small privately-owned companies see astounding customer administration as basic to their businesses' fate. In any case, little investigation into (DEIB) practices of privately-run companies has been performed (Cooper et al., 2005). In this investigation, an assessment of DEIB usage among 82 families and 370 non-family firms. Family and non-family businesses report comparative mentalities toward DEIB's significance, their insight into DEIB, and their prosperity when they do execute it (Cooper et al., 2005). In any case, utilizing a logic relapse model indicated that privately-owned companies used strategies unique to those of nonprivate-owned companies. These outcomes are consistent while controlling for larger corporate size and industry sector (Cooper et al., 2005).

Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Belonging (DEIB) has many benefits for application internationally. In recent years, international business has encountered unrivaled development. This development is because of advances in correspondence and data advances, privatization, rising economies, and the rise of the global consumer (Ramaseshan et al., 2006). As globalization continues to show through global organizations' development, the significance of supplier diversity programs in these organizations has contracted. Global supplier diversity programs are the vital use of the cycles and practices of DEIB by firms working in different nations or by firms serving customers who length numerous nations, which joins important contrasts in business rehearses, rivalry, regulatory attributes, nation qualities, and buyer attributes to DEIB strategies to expand customer regard in global markets (Ramaseshan et al., 2006).

SOLUTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on this DEIB's synopsis, it is recommended that manufacturers incorporate supplier diversity programs to promote DEIB. In this way, the general cycle of building and maintaining beneficial customer relationships by conveying prevalent customer value and fulfillment improves business relationships with customers (Soltani & Navimipour, 2016). Additionally, it is the most grounded and the most proficient way to maintain long-term customers. Moreover, further recommendations are giving a systematic outline of the current methods in DEIB, featuring the favorable circumstances and detriments of the current methods of supplier diversity programs (Soltani & Navimipour, 2016). Additionally, investigating a portion of the primary difficulties in DEIB and illustrating the key regions where future exploration can improve the utilization of supplier diversity programs (Soltani & Navimipour, 2016).

FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Future and emerging trends indicate that incorporating supplier diversity programs strengthens diversity, equity, inclusion, and belonging (DEIB) frameworks and supports customer information development

measures, including socialization, externalization, and blending. DEIB frameworks are systematic as unrestricted, operational, and investigative (Khodakarami & Chan, 2014). An investigation of DEIB applications in three associations uncovers scientific frameworks that unequivocally support the marketing mix cycle. Further, community-oriented frameworks offer the best help for externalization. Operational frameworks encourage socialization with customers, while cooperative and socialization frameworks within a company (Khodakarami & Chan, 2014). Synergistic and diagnostic frameworks support the supply chain cycle. Multiple infrastructures among DEIB frameworks, customer information, and information creation measures are investigated (Khodakarami & Chan, 2014).

CONCLUSION

Suppliers depend on the workforce to ensure production, and human variables must be considered a significant perspective when executing supplier diversity programs. Regardless of the supplier, defects will happen when people work with the products, yet compliance requirements diminish the number of defects in production (Rydin & Gustafsson, 2020). A strategic marketing plan for supplier diversity programs as DEIB strategy provides a framework for increasing quality improvement and CSR in manufacturing environments. Additionally, DEIB compliance allows increased purchase orders, sales, and market share. Moreover, supplier diversity programs are typically accessible from business suppliers and can establish growing new business procedures. Accentuation on utilizing client relationships via DEIB is imminent for clients pursuing high-tech B2B firms (Wilson, 2006). In particular, client relationships assess current clients and match their profiles with new prospects from the information base. When high-quality supplier diversity prospects are selected, reputable, and well-managed, an organization's quality team is more likely to grow (Wilson, 2006).

REFERENCES

- Alt, R., & Puschmann, T. (2004, January). Successful practices in customer relationship management (CRM). In *37th Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, 2004. Proceedings of the*. IEEE.
- Arnett, D. B., & Badrinarayanan, V. (2005). Enhancing customer-needs-driven customer relationship management (CRM) strategies: Core selling teams, knowledge management competence, and relationship marketing competence. *Journal of Personal Selling & Sales Management*, 25(4), 329–343.
- Attaran, M. (2007). RFID: An enabler of supply chain operations. *Supply Chain Management*, 12(4), 249–257. doi:10.1108/13598540710759763
- Chen, I. J., & Popovich, K. (2003). Understanding customer relationship management (CRM). *Business Process Management Journal*, 9(5), 672–688. doi:10.1108/14637150310496758
- Choy, K. L., Lee, W. B., & Lo, V. (2004a). Development of a case-based intelligent supplier relationship management system—linking supplier rating system and product coding system. *Supply Chain Management*, 9(1), 86–101. doi:10.1108/13598540410517601

Building an Iron-Clad Supplier Diversity Program

Choy, K. L., Lee, W. B., & Lo, V. (2004b). An enterprise collaborative management system—a case study of supplier relationship management. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 17(3), 191–207. doi:10.1108/17410390410531443

Cooper, M. J., Upton, N., & Seaman, S. (2005). Customer relationship management (CRM): A comparative analysis of family and non-family business practices. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 43(3), 242–256. doi:10.1111/j.1540-627X.2005.00136.x

Cravero, C. (2018). Promoting Supplier Diversity in Public Procurement: A Further Step in Responsible Supply Chain. *European Journal of Sustainable Development Research*, 2(1), 8.

Dominici, G. (2009). From marketing mix to e-marketing mix: A literature overview and classification. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 4(9), 17–24. doi:10.5539/ijbm.v4n9p17

Goldsmith, R. E. (1999). The personalized marketplace: Beyond the 4Ps. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, 17(4), 178–185. doi:10.1108/02634509910275917

Huang, R., & Sarigöllü, E. (2014). How brand awareness relates to market outcome, brand equity, and the marketing mix. In *Fashion Branding and Consumer Behaviors* (pp. 113–132). Springer. doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-0277-4_8

Khodakarami, F., & Chan, Y. E. (2014). Exploring the role of customer relationship management (CRM) systems in customer knowledge creation. *Information & Management*, 51(1), 27–42. doi:10.1016/j.im.2013.09.001

Lin, R. J., Chen, R. H., & Chiu, K. K. S. (2010). Customer relationship management (CRM) and innovation capability: An empirical study. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 110(1), 111–133. Advance online publication. doi:10.1108/02635571011008434

Lindgreen, A., Palmer, R., Vanhamme, J., & Wouters, J. (2006). A relationship-management assessment tool: Questioning, identifying, and prioritizing critical aspects of customer relationships. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 35(1), 57–71. doi:10.1016/j.indmarman.2005.08.008

Michaelides, R., Kehoe, D., & Tickle, M. (2007). Using electronic customer relationship management to improve manufacturing processes. *International Journal of Agile Systems and Management*, 2(3), 321–342. doi:10.1504/IJASM.2007.015796

Ramaseshan, B., Bejou, D., Jain, S. C., Mason, C., & Pancras, J. (2006). Issues and perspectives in global customer relationship management (CRM). *Journal of Service Research*, 9(2), 195–207. doi:10.1177/1094670506293574

Rastogi, P. N. (2009). *Management of technology and innovation: Competing through technological excellence* (2nd ed.). Sage Publications.

Rowley, J. (1998). Promotion and marketing communications in the information marketplace. *Library Review*, 47(8), 383–387. doi:10.1108/00242539810239543

Rydin, W., & Gustafsson, G. (2020). *Quality improvements towards zero defects: Addressing the implementation gap between industry and literature*. <http://www.diva-portal.org/smash/record.jsf?pid=diva2%3A1446877&dswid=-7124>

Soltani, Z., & Navimipour, N. J. (2016). Customer relationship management (CRM) mechanisms: A systematic review of the state-of-the-art literature and future research recommendations. *Computers in Human Behavior, 61*, 667–688. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2016.03.008

Stein, A. D., Smith, M. F., & Lancioni, R. A. (2013). The development and diffusion of customer relationship management intelligence in business-to-business environments. *Industrial Marketing Management, 42*(6), 855–861. doi:10.1016/j.indmarman.2013.06.004

Thackeray, R., & McCormack Brown, K. R. (2010). Creating successful price and placement strategies for social marketing. *Health Promotion Practice, 11*(2), 166–168. doi:10.1177/1524839909360892 PMID:20400655

Vesal, M., Siahtiri, V., & O’Cass, A. (2020). Strengthening B2B brands by signaling environmental sustainability and managing customer relationships. *Industrial Marketing Management.*

Wilson, H., Daniel, E., & McDonald, M. (2002). Factors for success in customer relationship management (CRM) systems. *Journal of Marketing Management, 18*(1-2), 193–219. doi:10.1362/0267257022775918

Wilson, R. D. (2006). Developing new business strategies in B2B markets by combining CRM concepts and online databases. *Competitiveness Review, 16*(1), 38–43. doi:10.1108/cr.2006.16.1.38

Zeng, Y. E., Wen, H. J., & Yen, D. C. (2003). Customer relationship management (CRM) in business-to-business (B2B) e-commerce. *Information Management & Computer Security.*

ADDITIONAL READING

Blount, I., & Li, M. (2021). How buyers’ attitudes toward supplier diversity affect their expenditures with ethnic minority businesses. *The Journal of Supply Chain Management, 57*(3), 3–24. doi:10.1111/jscm.12237

Blount, I. Y. (2021). How do large purchasing organizations treat their diverse suppliers? Minority business enterprise CEOs’ perception of corporate commitment to supplier diversity. *Business & Society, 60*(7), 1708–1737. doi:10.1177/0007650320936974

Gould, R., Harris, S. P., Mullin, C., & Jones, R. (2020). Disability, diversity, and corporate social responsibility: Learning from recognized leaders in inclusion. *Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation, 52*(1), 29–42. doi:10.3233/JVR-191058

Kimbrough, C. (2017). Local governments hiring chief diversity officers to lead equity and inclusion work. *National Civic Review, 106*(3), 55–63. doi:10.1002/ncr.21329

Lashley, K., & Pollock, T. G. (2020). Dancing with Giants: How Small Women-and Minority-Owned Firms Use Soft Power to Manage Asymmetric Relationships with Larger Partners. *Organization Science, 31*(6), 1313–1335. doi:10.1287/orsc.2019.1353

Building an Iron-Clad Supplier Diversity Program

Morais, D. O., & Silvestre, B. S. (2018). Advancing social sustainability in supply chain management: Lessons from multiple case studies in an emerging economy. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 199*, 222–235. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.07.097

Stanley, C. A., Watson, K. L., Reyes, J. M., & Varela, K. S. (2019). Organizational change and the chief diversity officer: A case study of institutionalizing a diversity plan. *Journal of Diversity in Higher Education, 12*(3), 255–265. doi:10.1037/dhe0000099

Villena, V. H., & Gioia, D. A. (2020). A more sustainable supply chain. *Harvard Business Review, 98*(2), 84–93.

Yawar, S. A., & Seuring, S. (2020). Reviewing and conceptualizing supplier development. *Benchmarking, 27*(9), 2565–2598. doi:10.1108/BIJ-01-2020-0018

KEY TERMS AND DEFINITIONS

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR): A self-regulating business standard that assists a company be socially responsible—to itself, its stakeholders, and the community. Through commitment to corporate social responsibility, also termed corporate citizenship, companies become deliberate of the kind of impact on all facets of society, including financial, social, and ecological. To engage in CSR requires a company to incorporate socially responsible behavior in the normal course of business, instead of negatively (Huang & Sarigöllü, 2014).

Customer Relations Marketing (CRM): A practice-based on client-supplier relationships and customer-supplier fidelity. Using customer metrics and comments, companies employ this marketing strategy to advance long-term relationships with customers-suppliers and improve concentrated brand awareness (Khodakarami & Chan, 2014).

Supplier Diversity Program (SDP): The objective of a Supplier Diversity Program (SDP), previously recognized as the Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization (SDBU) Program, offering support and guidance to small businesses and historically underutilized small businesses (HUSBs) (Cravero, 2018).