

11-4-2013

Fort Hays State University Faculty Senate Agenda for Regular Meeting on Monday, 04 November, 2013

FHSU Faculty Senate

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholars.fhsu.edu/sen_all

Recommended Citation

Faculty Senate, FHSU, "Fort Hays State University Faculty Senate Agenda for Regular Meeting on Monday, 04 November, 2013" (2013). *Faculty Senate Materials*. Paper 65.
http://scholars.fhsu.edu/sen_all/65

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Senate at FHSU Scholars Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Senate Materials by an authorized administrator of FHSU Scholars Repository.

Fort Hays State University Faculty Senate
Agenda for Regular Meeting on Monday, 04 November, 2013
(3:30pm, Stouffer Lounge)

Note changes: for October minutes. No comments. Meeting minutes approved.

Send out email for attendance for October meetings.

1. Approval of Minutes and Attendance of Prior Meeting

- a. Attachments A and B

2. Information Items

- a. Dr. John Heinrichs: Cumulative In-Load Reassignment Plan
- Accumulate of mentoring time will be passed into reassigned time.
 - 18 hours of credit hours worth of mentoring for out of course load with a 3 credit hour reduction. Evidence of success of students would be judging criteria.
 - For new faculty a chance to get reassigned time for URE work.
 - Asking Faculty senate support. Britton states that this needs to go to committee.
- b. Dr. Anthony Gabel: AAUP and the Faculty Senate Faculty Growth Task Force
- Created by faculty senate.
 - Student for growth population, and facility approved by regents.
 - This committee discussed how to grow more faculty.
 - What does a FHSU faculty member look like?
 - Administration is looking at a larger teaching load for faculty.
 - In the new MOA a new article included how faculty evaluation for tenure track is 60/20/20 or 24 credit hour per academic year. For the Non tenure track 70/20/10 for a 30 credit hour per academic year.
 - All faculty need a say on this issue just not AAUP, faculty senate or administration. All faculty vote?

- Brinker - May some NNT still negotiate have a 60/20/20 or a 4/4? It may be a salary decrease because teaching load will be lower than 30 credit hour.
- Crawford notes that this issue is not on paper and is not up for negotiation for the MOA for the next couple of years.
- Goodlett – NNT are played less, but do not have same terminal degrees. But what if NNT want to do research?
- Burnnet - How does this effect contract?
- Miles - Does base pay equal that of TT?
- Breit – suggests have the default 4/4 then have the ability to renegotiate.
- Crawford discusses a virtual faculty plan to have a faculty teach load but no research component. This discussion stems from the virtual college growth plan.
- Gabel – 60/20/20 deals with merit evaluation not teaching load.
- Donnelly asked Gabel if faculty senate should write up a statement. Gabel suggest it go to committee for senate to tackle. What does a FHSU faculty look like?
- Britton – university affairs monitor this issue and discuss. Gabel suggests that senate bring it back to the departments for discussion.
- Donnelly asks committee to come up with a statement for January.

3. Announcements and Additional Information

- a. Kansas Board of Regents
 - Post tenure review. A working document is needed by May to send to regents.
 - 360 review costs and regents won't be doing this again anytime soon.
- b. President's Cabinet – has not met
- c. Provost's Council – has not met
- d. Strategic Planning Steering Committee – not met
- e. Council for Institutional Effectiveness

4. Reports from Standing Committees

- a. Executive Committee: Stephen Donnelly
 - President search – how does this work? Gould chaired search for faculty senate in 1985. Search committee submits 3 names for regent interview and choice. Gould states that faculty senate chooses committee. Donnelly may need to choose this committee from senators.
 - Donnelly charges the senate to come up with a plan for the university.
 - Virtual College seems like a separate entity from the rest of faculty. Needs a virtual liaison or representative to attend senate meetings.
- b. Academic Affairs: Jeffrey Burnett
 - Needs more documents
- c. Student Affairs: Cynthia Garrety
 - No report
- d. University Affairs: Kathleen Sanders
 - Evaluation of dean – working on draft
- e. Strategic Planning and Improvement: Chapman Rackaway
 - No report
- f. University Partnerships and Technology: Lorie Cook-Benjamin
 - Met will send out invitation for meetings.

5. Reports from Special Committees and Other Representatives

- a. none

6. Old Business

- a. Liaison assignments – Donnelly needs to check
- b. other

7. New Business

- a. Faculty survey as part of the Faculty Growth Task Force
 - Where is the university going? Will be discussed at next executive meeting.
- b. Other

- Deyo questions student evaluation, but virtual evaluations are low. But important documents for tenure and promotion.
- Rolls - Evaluations are voluntary. Some students don't want to take the time.
- Walters – discussed before...how other universities are stimulating evaluations.
- Britton – his department deselect clinics, chairs keeps emailing faculty, some faculty bring carts to class.
- Stephenson suggests making personal evaluation for specific courses, can these be used for tenure or promotion.
- Breit suggests making an ap for evals.
- This topic should be addressed in Freshman Seminar.

8. Adjournment

Motion to adjourn Goodlett, seconded by Leo Herman. All approved.